

BLACK HOLES - A SIMPLIFIED THEORY FOR QUANTUM GRAVITY NON-SPECIALISTS

Vladan Panković

Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences, 21000 Novi Sad,
Trg Dositeja Obradovića 4. , Serbia, vpankovic@if.ns.ac.yu

PACS number: 04.70.Dy

Abstract

In this work we present a simplified description and calculation of the Kerr-Newman black hole basic dynamical (horizons) and thermo-dynamical (Bekenstein-Hawking entropy, Bekenstein entropy/surface quantization, Hawking temperature and radiation) characteristics. Also, a possibility of the fission of nearly extremal black hole is considered in full analogy with remarkable, simple Bohr-Wheeler theory of the nuclear fission. Given black is physically based on the well-known principles of the classical physics (mechanics, thermodynamics and electro-dynamics). It includes the non-relativistic quantum mechanics and statistical mechanics too. Finally, it includes the elementary form of the general relativistic equivalence principle only. Also, suggested simplified description includes mathematically, practically, only simple algebraic equations. Here many steps are extremely simplified and formal, representing, in fact, a linear approximation of the quantum gravity theories (black hole entropy and temperature can be obtained by ground standing wave at horizon, while Hawking radiation can be obtained by gravitational decay of this standing wave in its traveling components). But, the final results, i.e. formulas on the black hole basic characteristics, are mostly effectively identical to corresponding results obtained by accurate quantum gravity theories. In this way suggested description can be very useful for the quantum gravity non-specialists.

1 Introduction

As it is well-known black hole represents one of the most fascinating physical object. But accurate analysis of the dynamical and thermo-dynamical characteristics of the black hole needs knowledge of the subtle details of general theory of relativity and quantum field theory, i.e. quantum gravity (even if there is no complete theory of the quantum gravity to this day) [1]-[7]. For this reason non-specialists (that do not know very much on the quantum gravity) cannot realize any useful calculation of the basic dynamical (horizons) and thermo-dynamical (Bekenstein-Hawking entropy, Bekenstein entropy/surface quantization, Hawking temperature and radiation) characteristics of

the black hole. Of course, there are some very interesting presentations of the black hole basic characteristics [8] for the non-specialists but without a detailed calculation tools. In some other references, with indicative titles, e.g. Can One Understand Black Hole Entropy without Knowing Much about Quantum Gravity [9], calculation tools are too complicated for the non-specialists.

In this work we shall present a simplified method for description and calculation of the Kerr-Newman black hole main dynamical and thermo-dynamical characteristics. This method is physically based on the well-known principles of the classical physics (mechanics, thermodynamics and electro-dynamics). It includes the non-relativistic quantum mechanics and statistical mechanics too. Finally, it includes the elementary form of the general relativistic equivalence principle only. Also, suggested simplified description includes mathematically, practically, only simple algebraic equations. Here many steps are extremely simplified and formal, representing, in fact, a linear approximation of the quantum gravity theories (black hole entropy and temperature can be obtained by ground standing wave at horizon, while Hawking radiation can be obtained by gravitational decay of this standing wave in its traveling components). But, the final results, i.e. formulas on the black hole basic characteristics, are mostly effectively identical to corresponding results obtained by accurate quantum gravity theories. In this way suggested description can be very useful for the quantum gravity non-specialists.

2 Black hole dynamics

As it is well-known [10], [11] Laplace determined by simple, classical mechanical method, the radius R of a static black star, i.e. a star with sufficiently large mass M (homogeneously distributed over volume) so that even light cannot escape from the star surface. He defined the total energy of a probe particle with mass m that propagates with speed of the light c radially, i.e. perpendicularly to the star surface. This total energy E_{tot} represents the sum of the probe particle classical translational kinetic energy $\frac{mc^2}{2}$ and negative potential energy of the gravitational attractive interaction between probe particle and black star $-\frac{GmM}{R}$ where G represents the Newtonian gravitational constant. Laplace supposed that given total energy equals zero, i.e.

$$E_{tot} = \frac{mc^2}{2} - \frac{GmM}{R} = 0. \quad (1)$$

It represents a simple algebraic equation, called Laplace equation, with R as unknown variable. In the natural system of the units (where $G = c = 1$) equation (1) turns out in

$$E_{tot} = \frac{m}{2} - \frac{mM}{R} = 0 \quad (2)$$

with corresponding unique solution

$$R = 2M. \quad (3)$$

Surprisingly given radius is identical to the Schwarzschild radius of corresponding Schwarzschild (static, non-charged) black hole predicted accurately by general theory of relativity [2].

In this way presented Laplace's method (equation) can be considered as an extremely simplified method for determination of the Schwarzschild black hole horizon as the basic dynamical characteristic of the Schwarzschild black hole.

Laplace's method (equation) can be simply generalized in the situation when black star is electrically charged (with charge Q homogeneously distributed over black star surface) and when it rotates (with angular momentum J around z-axis).

Suppose again that total energy of the probe particle equals zero, i.e.

$$E_{tot} = \frac{mc^2}{2} + \frac{m}{2}\left(\frac{ca}{R}\right)^2 - \frac{GmM}{R} + Gm\left[\frac{1}{2}\frac{Q^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 Rc^2}\right]\frac{1}{R} = 0. \quad (4)$$

It again represents a simple algebraic equation, called generalized Laplace equation, with R as the unknown variable.

Here $\frac{mv^2}{2} = \frac{m}{2}\left(\frac{ca}{R}\right)^2$ represents the classical kinetic energy of the rotation of probe particle at black star surface with peripheral speed v induced by black star rotation. It includes the relation $mvR = mca$ and relation $J = Mca$. First relation simply means that a represents formally a distance at which probe particle would rotate with peripheral speed c . Then corresponding formal angular momentum mca is equivalent to the real angular momentum mvR . Second relation is not simply and it represents the exact general relativistic definition of a as distance parameter for rotating black hole with mass M and angular momentum J . Namely, classical mechanical rigid body, with radius R and homogeneously distributed over volume mass M , holds momentum of the inertia $\frac{2}{5}MR^2$ but not MR^2 . It implies classical angular momentum $\frac{2}{5}MvR = \frac{2}{5}Mca$ but not $MvR = Mca$. In this way use of Mca instead of $\frac{2}{5}Mca$ in (4) represents an ad hoc postulated correction of the classical expression. It is, in some way, similar to situation by definition of the electron mass and radius in the classical electrodynamics [12].

Further, $V_e = \frac{1}{2}\frac{Q^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 R}$ represents the classical potential energy of the electrostatic Coulomb's repulsion for the sphere with radius R and electrical charge Q homogeneously distributed over sphere surface [12] where ϵ_0 represents the vacuum dielectric permeability. Also, according to general relativistic equivalence principle, $|M_e| = \frac{V_e}{c^2}$ can be absolute value of the mass corresponding to V_e , i.e. to electrostatic mass. But, since V_e corresponds to a repulsive interaction it can be supposed that electrostatic mass M_e is negative, i.e. that $M_e = -\frac{V_e}{c^2}$. Finally, $Gm\left[\frac{1}{2}\frac{Q^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 Rc^2}\right]\frac{1}{R} = -\frac{GmM_e}{R}$ can be formally considered as the positive potential energy of the classical gravitational interaction between (negative) electrostatic mass and (positive) probe particle mass.

In this way total energy of the probe particle is presented as the sum of the total (translational and rotational) classical kinetic energy and total (formally) classical gravitational (basic gravitational and electrostatic presented as the gravitational by equivalence principle) potential energy.

In the natural system of units (where $4\pi\epsilon_0 = 1$) equation (4) turns out in

$$E_{tot} = \frac{m}{2} + \frac{m}{2}\left(\frac{a}{R}\right)^2 - \frac{mM}{R} + m\frac{1}{2}\frac{Q^2}{R^2} = 0 \quad (5)$$

or, after simple transformations, in

$$R^2 - 2MR + (a^2 + Q^2) = 0. \quad (6)$$

This equation, generally speaking, holds two solutions

$$R_{\pm} = M \pm (M^2 - (a^2 + Q^2))^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (7)$$

Surprisingly R_+ and R_- are identical to the outer and inner horizon of the Kerr-Newman (rotating with angular momentum J , i.e. distance parameter a , and electrically charged with charge Q) black hole predicted accurately by general theory of relativity [2].

But, given solutions (7) are real and different only for $M^2 \geq (a^2 + Q^2)$, when Kerr-Newman black hole is called non-extremal.

For $M^2 = (a^2 + Q^2)$, when Kerr-Newman black hole is called extremal, (7) represents unique real solution of (5).

Finally, for $M^2 < (a^2 + Q^2)$, when Kerr-Newman black hole is called super-extremal, equation (5) does not hold real solutions which implies black star non-existence. Namely, in this case E_{tot} is always positive so that light can always escape from star surface. It is, in some way, in agreement with accurate prediction of the general relativity according to which condition $M^2 < (a^2 + Q^2)$ implies implausible breaking of the cosmic censorship theorem, i.e. appearance of the naked singularities.

Generalized Laplace equation (5), representing formally equilibrium condition for probe particle, can be, in fact, considered as the condition of the dynamical stability of whole Kerr-Newman black hole. It can be roughly demonstrated and described by classical physics.

Namely, non-extremal Kerr-Newman black hole is, roughly classically speaking, dynamically stable since here attractive, i.e. centripetal, gravitational force is much larger than sum of two repulsive, forces, electrostatic and rotational, i.e. centrifugal.

Vice versa, super-extremal Kerr-Newman black hole is, roughly classically speaking, dynamically extremely non-stable since here attractive, i.e. centripetal, gravitational force is much weaker than sum of two repulsive, forces, electrostatic and rotational, i.e. centrifugal. Then it decays or it does a spontaneous fission practically instantaneously in the extremely many fragments of the usual, non-collapsing systems.

Extremal Kerr-Newman black hole is, roughly classically speaking, dynamically meta-stable since here attractive, i.e. centripetal, gravitational force is equal to sum of two repulsive, forces, electrostatic and rotational, i.e. centrifugal. It implies that a non-extremal, but near to extremal, Kerr-Newman black hole, stimulated by absorption of an additional, activating system (with relatively small mass and relatively large charge and angular momentum), can decay or can do a stimulated fission in two approximately equivalent, strictly non-extremal, Kerr-Newman black holes. Precisely, it can be supposed that, after fission, first, 1, and second, 2, fission fragment hold the same electrical charge $q_1 = q_2 = \frac{Q}{2}$ and the same angular momentums, i.e. distance parameters $a_1 = a_2 = \frac{a}{2}$, according to electrical charge and orbital momentum conservation laws. It can be supposed too that both fission fragments hold the same masses $m_1 = m_2 = m$ and outer horizons $r_1 = r_2 = m + (m^2 - ((\frac{a}{2})^2 + (\frac{Q}{2})^2))^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Given masses can be determined by condition $M = m_1 + m_2 - \frac{m_1 m_2}{r_1 + r_2}$. In this condition last term, i.e. potential of the classical gravitational attractive interaction between fragments captured in the initial extremal black hole, can be considered as a typical relativistic mass excess (defect) Given condition represents the algebraic quadratic equation. It holds simple positive solution $m \simeq 1.45M$. It implies $m^2 \simeq 2M^2$ sufficiently larger than $(\frac{a}{2})^2 + (\frac{Q}{2})^2 = \frac{M^2}{4}$ which means that fission fragments are really non-extremal. (Obviously, described nearly extremal Kerr-Newman black hole fission is in a deep conceptual analogy with remarkable Bohr-Wheeler simple, approximate, quasi-classical model of the nuclear fission.)

Finally, it can be observed that equation (6), for outer horizon (in further text outer horizon R_+ will be denoted R , i.e. without subscript $+$, for reason of simplicity), it follows

$$M = \frac{R}{2} + \frac{a^2}{2R} + \frac{Q^2}{2R}. \quad (8)$$

Term $\frac{R}{2}$ in (8) corresponds to the Newtonian, static gravitational mass. Term $\frac{a^2}{2R}$ in (8) can be treated as the rotational, or according to equivalence principle, local gravitational (induced by rotation) mass. Then term $M_g = \frac{R}{2} + \frac{a^2}{2R}$ can be considered as the pure (static and rotational)

gravitational mass of the black star, i.e. black hole. Term $\frac{Q^2}{2R}$ can be considered as the electrostatic mass of the black star, i.e. black hole.

In this way presented generalized Laplace's method can be considered as an extremely simplified method for determination of the basic dynamical characteristics of the Kerr-Newman black hole.

3 Black hole thermodynamics

Suppose now that the gravitational mass of the black hole M_g is quantized and that its quanta satisfy the following quantization condition

$$m_n c R = n \frac{\hbar}{2\pi}, \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (9)$$

that implies

$$2\pi R = n \frac{\hbar}{m_n c} = n \lambda_{rn} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (10)$$

where $\hbar$ represents the reduced Planck's constant while $\lambda_{rn} = \frac{\hbar}{m_n c}$, represents reduced Compton's wave length corresponding to m_n for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Last two expressions, in natural units system (where $\hbar = 1$) turns out in

$$m_n R = n \frac{1}{2\pi}, \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (11)$$

that implies

$$2\pi R = n \frac{1}{m_n} = n \lambda_{rn} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (12)$$

Last expression means, in fact, that the "circumference" of the outer horizon (even if, of course, outer horizon surface does not represent exactly a sphere) holds n reduced Compton's wavelength of the mass quanta with mass m_n for $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

Obviously, (9), (10) or (11), (12) correspond, in some degree, to Bohr's postulate on the electron orbital momentum quantization and de Broglie's interpretation of this postulate in the atomic physics. (However, electron orbit radius represents a variable that increases proportionally to n^2 while all gravitational mass quanta of the black hole, hold the same orbit radius R . Also, electron energy represents a variable that increases proportionally to $-\frac{1}{n^2}$, while quantum of the black hole gravitational mass, represents a variable that increases proportionally to n , i.e. linearly.)

Expression (11) implies

$$m_n = n \frac{1}{2\pi R} = n m_1 \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (13)$$

where

$$m_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi R} \quad (14)$$

represents the minimal, i.e. ground mass of the gravitational mass quanta.

Further, suppose that black hole gravitational mass quanta do a statistical ensemble. In other words, suppose that there is a gravitational self-interaction of the black star, i.e. black hole which can be described statistically. Suppose that in the thermodynamical equilibrium almost

all quanta occupy ground mass state. It implies that black hole gravitational mass quanta represent the Bose-Einstein quantum systems, i.e. bosons. Then, roughly speaking, corresponding entropy S can be defined by

$$S = k_B \frac{M_g}{m_1} \quad (15)$$

where k_B represents the Boltzmann constant, or, in the natural system units (where $k_B = 1$)

$$S = \frac{M_g}{m_1} = \pi(R^2 + a^2) = \frac{A}{4} \quad (16)$$

where $A = 4\pi(R^2 + a^2)$ represents the black star, i.e. hole outer horizon surface.

Surprisingly, S (16) is identical to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the Kerr-Newman black hole obtained by the accurate quantum gravity methods [1]-[4].

Suppose that a is much smaller than R and M and then differentiate S (16) over R that yields

$$dS = 2\pi R dR. \quad (17)$$

Further, according to (7), for a as well as Q much smaller than M , it follows

$$dR = \left(1 + \frac{M}{(M^2 - a^2 - Q^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) dM = \frac{R}{(M^2 - a^2 - Q^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} dM \quad (18)$$

or, in more rough approximation,

$$dR \simeq 2dM. \quad (19)$$

Then (17), (18), (19) imply

$$dS = 2\pi \frac{R^2}{(M^2 - a^2 - Q^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} dM \quad (20)$$

or, in more rough approximation,

$$dS \simeq 4\pi R dM \quad (21)$$

Introduction of (20), in (17), yields

$$dS \simeq 4\pi R dM \quad (22)$$

or, in the additional approximation, i.e. by change of the differentials by finite differences,

$$\Delta S \simeq 4\pi R \Delta M \quad \text{for} \quad \Delta M \ll M. \quad (23)$$

Further, we shall assume

$$\Delta M = nm_1 \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad \text{and for} \quad \Delta M \ll M. \quad (24)$$

It, introduced in (23) and according to (14), (16), yields

$$\Delta S = 2n \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad \text{and for} \quad \Delta M \ll M \quad (25)$$

and

$$\Delta A = 2n(2)^2 \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad \text{and for} \quad \Delta M \ll M \quad (26)$$

where 2 can be considered as the twice Planck length in the natural units system.

Surprisingly, ΔS (25) and ΔA (26) are identical to the Bekenstein's quantization of the black hole entropy and horizon surface area.

All this implies that black star, i.e. black hole can be considered as a thermodynamical system in the state of the thermodynamical equilibrium. Then the first thermodynamical law, in the following form,

$$dM = TdS + \Omega dJ + \Phi dQ \quad (27)$$

must be satisfied, where

$$\Omega = \frac{a}{R^2 + a^2} \quad (28)$$

represents the outer horizon angular speed. Also, according to accurate quantum gravity theories $\Phi = \frac{QR}{R^2 + a^2}$ represents the outer horizon electrostatic potential. As it is not hard to see Φ is different from previously implicitly defined electrostatic potential $\frac{V_e}{Q}$. But, as it will be demonstrated, given difference does not hold any important role in the further thermodynamical considerations.

Further, we shall approximately neglect term ΦdQ in (27) so that this expression, according to (28), turns out in

$$dM = TdS + \Omega dJ = TdS + \frac{a}{R^2 + a^2} dJ. \quad (29)$$

This expression, according to $J = aM$ definition, approximation condition $a \ll M$, and (20), implies

$$dM = T2\pi \frac{R^2}{(M^2 - a^2 - Q^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} dM + \frac{a^2}{R^2 + a^2} dM. \quad (30)$$

equivalent to the following simple algebraic equation with T as single unknown variable

$$1 = T2\pi \frac{R^2}{(M^2 - a^2 - Q^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{a^2}{R^2 + a^2}. \quad (31)$$

Solution of the last equation is simply

$$T = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{(M^2 - a^2 - Q^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{R^2 + a^2}. \quad (32)$$

Surprisingly T (32) is identical to the Hawking temperature of the black hole obtained by accurate methods of the quantum gravity [1]-[4].

In this way presented method can be considered as an extremely simplified method for determination of the basic thermodynamical characteristics of the Kerr-Newman black hole.

4 Black hole statistical mechanics

Now, we shall give a deeper, statistical interpretation of the black hole entropy.

It has been supposed previously that black hole gravitational mass quanta do a bosonic great canonical ensemble in the thermodynamical equilibrium, with mass spectrum m_n for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ (13), temperature T (32) and chemical potential μ whose value will be determined later.

Then, as it is well-known and according to (13), statistically averaged number of the quanta with mass m_n , N_n , for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, is given by expression

$$N_n = \frac{g_n}{\exp[\frac{m_n - \mu}{T}] - 1} = g_n / (\exp[(\frac{nm_1 - \mu}{T}] - 1) \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (33)$$

where g_n represents the degeneracy of the quantum state corresponding to m_n for $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

Also, as it is well-known too, partial entropy in the quantum state corresponding to m_n for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, is given by expression

$$S_n = g_n \ln[1 + \frac{N_n}{g_n}] + N_n \ln[1 + \frac{g_n}{N_n}] \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (34)$$

where g_n represents the degeneracy of the quantum state corresponding to m_n for $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

We shall suppose

$$g_n \simeq 1 \quad \text{for } n \gg 1 \quad (35)$$

which, according to (33), (34) implies

$$N_n \ll 1 \quad \text{for } n \gg 1 \quad (36)$$

and

$$S_n \simeq N_n \ll 1 \quad \text{for } n \gg 1. \quad (37)$$

Also, we shall suppose

$$g_1 = N_1. \quad (38)$$

It, according (14), (32), (33) implies the following value of the chemical potential

$$\mu = m_1 - T \ln 2 = m_1(1 - \frac{T}{m_1} \ln 2). \quad (39)$$

Intuitive explanation of the suppositions (35), (38) is very simple. Ground mass state corresponding to m_1 , (energetically) closest to (outer) horizon, maximally exposed to gravitational influence, is maximally degenerate. Highly excited quantum states corresponding to m_n for $n \gg 1$, (energetically) very distant from horizon, are not so strongly exposed to gravitational influence and are almost non-degenerate.

It can be observed that here we have a situation in some degree similar to Bose condensation. Black hole gravitational mass quanta occupy maximally, maximally degenerate ground mass state, in respect to other, practically non-degenerate, mass states (even if, according to (38), $\frac{N_1}{g_1}$ does not tend toward infinity but toward 1).

According to (34)-(38) it follows

$$S_1 = 2 \ln 2 N_1 \simeq 1.39 N_1 \sim N_1 \quad \text{for } n = 1. \quad (40)$$

It implies the following expression for usually statistically defined total entropy S

$$S = \sum_{n=1} S_n \simeq S_1 \simeq 1.39 N_1 \sim N_1 \quad (41)$$

and equivalence of (41) and (40) implies

$$N_1 \simeq \frac{1}{1.39} \frac{M_g}{m_1} \simeq 0.72 \frac{M_g}{m_1} \sim \frac{M_g}{m_1}. \quad (42)$$

Then, statistically averaged total number of the mass quanta N is given by expression

$$N = \sum_{n=1} N_n \simeq N_1 \simeq \frac{1}{1.39} \frac{M_g}{m_1} \simeq 0.72 \frac{M_g}{m_1} \sim \frac{M_g}{m_1}. \quad (43)$$

Statistically averaged black hole gravitational mass $\langle M_g \rangle$ is given by expression

$$\langle M_g \rangle = \sum_{n=1} N_n m_n \simeq N_1 m_1 \simeq \frac{1}{1.39} M_g \simeq 0.72 M_g \sim M_g \quad (44)$$

which corresponds approximately to black hole gravitational mass M_g .

Thus, black hole entropy is practically equivalent to the number of the black hole gravitational mass quanta in the ground state or to the degeneracy of given state.

It can be observed that accurate quantum gravity theories predict the canonical statistical ensemble of the quantum systems emitted by Hawking radiation. Within given accurate theories micro-canonical distribution is inconsistent (it yields non-plausible statements), while macro-canonical distribution is superfluous. Within suggested approximate theory, as it is not hard to see, both, micro-canonical and canonical distribution are inconsistent and only great-canonical distribution, with especially chosen chemical potential (39), can effectively reproduce expected thermodynamical variables.

In this way it is demonstrated that previously discussed basic thermodynamical characteristics of the black hole can be founded statistically in a simple, but satisfactory approximation.

5 Hawking radiation

Hawking radiation and evaporation of the black hole can be usually interpreted in the following way (for details see [1], [3]). Quantum fluctuations of the vacuum and corresponding to Heisenberg energy-time uncertainty relation, that appear outside but nearly to black hole (outer) horizon, imply creation of a virtual pair of the particle and anti-particle. Suppose that one member of the pair propagates toward horizon and falls in the black hole. For a distant observer in the asymptotically flat coordinate system energy of the infalling member is negative. Other member in the pair propagates in the opposite direction, i.e. far away from horizon toward mentioned distant observer. For this distant observer given member seems effectively as the radiation emitted by black hole. More accurately, Hawking showed that emitted particles satisfy canonical Bose-Einstein statistical distribution without degeneration, or that black hole behaves as a black body that satisfies Planck radiation law at Hawking temperature. It implies (after well-known statistical physical formalism that includes integration over all frequencies of the radiation etc.) that total energy emitted over black hole horizon surface per time unit can be described by Stefan-Boltzmann law

$$-\frac{dM}{dt} c^2 = \sigma T^4 A \quad (45)$$

where $\sigma = \frac{\pi^2 k_B^4}{60 \hbar^3 c^2}$, or $\sigma = \frac{\pi^2}{60}$ in the natural system units, represents the Stefan-Boltzmann constant. It yields, according to (7), (16) and (32), after simple integration, the time of the total evaporation of the black hole t_{ev} , corresponding to the decrease of the initial black hole mass M to zero.

In the simplest case, i.e. for Schwarzschild black hole, and in the natural system units, Stefan-Boltzmann law (45) turns out in

$$-\frac{dM}{dt} = \frac{1}{15360\pi M^2} \quad (46)$$

that, after simple integration, yields

$$t_{ev} = (5120\pi) M^3. \quad (47)$$

(Similar procedure can be realized in the general case, i.e. for Kerr-Newman black hole, which here will not be realized explicitly, for reason of the simplicity.)

Now, it will be attempted that an effective correspondence be realized between mentioned accurate theory of the Hawking radiation and a simplified theory of the Hawking radiation.

It can be supposed that black hole gravitational mass quanta m_n linearly dependent of n for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ (13) correspond to simple, sinusoidal (corresponding to a linear dynamics) standing waves with wave lengths $\lambda_{rn} = \frac{1}{m_n}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ (12) along "circular" string $2\pi R$ equivalent to horizon "circumference". (Word string is used here in the sense of the usual, classical mechanics, but not in the sense of the string theories of quantum gravity.) Any of given standing waves can be considered as the usual superposition of two simple, sinusoidal traveling waves. They propagate in the opposite directions, or with opposite circular frequencies $\omega_n = m_n$ and $-\omega_n = -m_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and with one half of the amplitude of corresponding standing wave over horizon "circumference". More accurately, i.e. from relativistic quantum theory view point, one of the traveling wave can be considered as the particle, other - as the anti-particle. Simultaneously, corresponding standing wave can be considered as the typical zitterbewegung (trembling motion), i.e. superposition of the particle and anti-particle.

It can be supposed that given gravitational mass quanta, i.e. corresponding standing waves are not dynamically completely stable. Namely, given mass quanta represent quanta of the black hole gravitational self-interaction too. But an additional small perturbation, corresponding to gravitational interaction between given quanta and black hole, can be supposed too. For this reason, it can be supposed that any gravitational mass quantum m_n can decay into its two traveling waves components, i.e. particle and anti-particle, after some (statistically averaged) life time interval τ_n for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. It can be supposed too that, by decay, one of the traveling waves falls inside horizon while other traveling waves goes far away from horizon toward a distant observer in the asymptotically flat coordinate system.

Obviously, all this corresponds in some degree to mentioned, accurate description of the Hawking radiation.

But, it seems that there is a principal distinction between mentioned accurate theory of the Hawking radiation and simplified theory of the Hawking radiation. As it has been discussed and pointed out accurate theory considers canonical Bose-Einstein distribution without degeneration of the particles with all possible frequencies from zero till infinity. Simplified method considers, as it has been demonstrated in the previous section of this work, macro-canonical Bose-Einstein distribution with occupied practically only degenerate, ground, non-zero mass state. In other words, practically only black hole gravitational mass quantum in the degenerate ground state corresponding to m_1 is important in the suggested, simplified description of the black hole radiation. Or, in given simplified description of black hole radiation practically only one standing wave with unique wave length $\lambda_{r1} = \frac{1}{m_1}$ and life time τ_1 and corresponding traveling waves (i.e. particle and anti-particle with circular frequencies $\omega_1 = m_1$ and $-\omega_1 = -m_1$ and (statistically averaged) amplitudes twice smaller than amplitude of the standing wave) can have important role. For this reason here Stefan-Boltzmann law cannot be obtained.

Nevertheless, suppose that an effective correspondence between usual and simplified description of Hawking radiation can be realized in the simplest case, i.e. for Schwarzschild black hole, in the following way.

Suppose that accurate, differential form of the black hole mass decrease in the time unit $-\frac{dM}{dt}$ in the Stefan-Boltzmann law (46) can be approximately changed, i.e. linearized by the finite

differences quotient $\frac{m_1}{\tau_1}$. It yields

$$\frac{m_1}{\tau_1} = \frac{1}{15360\pi M^2} \quad (48)$$

that, according to (14), (43), implies

$$\tau_1 = 15360\pi m_1 M^2 = 3840M. \quad (49)$$

So, for life time of the ground mass quantum determined by (49) accurate, differential (Stefan-Boltzmann) and approximate, finite black hole mass decrease will be effectively the same.

From (48) it follows

$$\frac{1}{\tau_1} = \frac{1}{15360\pi m_1 M^2} = 2\pi|V_p|^2\rho. \quad (50)$$

Here $V_p = -\frac{m_1 M}{R} = \frac{1}{8\pi M} = -T$ represents the small perturbation corresponding to classical gravitational interaction between ground mass quanta and black hole. It is very interesting that such perturbation corresponds to negative Hawking temperature. Also, $\rho = \frac{1}{480m_1} = \frac{4\pi M}{480}$ can be phenomenologically interpreted as the density of the mass quantum states in a small vicinity of m_1 . As it is not hard to see (50) has a form almost analogous to the Fermi golden rule. Thus, it is demonstrated that Hawking radiation can be satisfactorily described in the suggested, simplified way. Or, Hawking radiation can be simplifiedly considered as the result of the decay (induced by small gravitational perturbation) of ground standing wave in the two traveling waves.

Further, since for the Schwarzschild black hole $M = M_g$, then (43), (47), (49), imply

$$t_{ev} \simeq \frac{\left(\frac{M}{m_1}\right)}{3}\tau_1 \simeq \frac{N}{3}\tau_1 \sim N\tau_1. \quad (51)$$

or

$$\frac{t_{ev}}{\tau_1} \simeq \frac{1}{3}\frac{M}{m_1} \sim \frac{M}{m_1}. \quad (52)$$

Formally speaking black hole evaporates completely when one third, or more roughly, all initial mass quanta decay one by one (one after one). Even if such statement is not exactly true it is consistent within suggested linear approximation.

6 Discussion and conclusion

Now we can discuss previously obtained results. Obviously, use of the classical (or weak general relativistic) mechanical, electrodynamical, thermodynamical and statistical principles in common with simple quantum mechanical concepts, lead toward suggested, simple, linear approximation of the quantum gravity. Even if such approximation is rough, it is not useless for description of the basic dynamical and thermodynamical characteristics of black hole. On the contrary, basic dynamical (horizons) and thermodynamical (Bekenstein-Hawking entropy, Bekenstein entropy/surface quantization, Hawking temperature and Hawking radiation) black hole characteristics can be obtained very simply within given approximation, by use of the usual algebraic equations only. Black hole entropy and temperature can be obtained by ground standing wave at horizon, while Hawking radiation can be obtained by gravitational decay of this standing wave in its traveling components. But, of course, many details of the description of the black hole dynamics and thermodynamics stand without given approximation. For this reason suggested approximate method for the description of the basic dynamical and thermodynamical characteristics of black hole can be very useful for the quantum gravity non-specialists.

7 References

- [1] *General Relativity: An Einstein Centenary Survey*, S. W. Hawking, W. Israel eds. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979)
- [2] R. M. Wald, *General Relativity* (Chicago University Press, Chicago, 1984)
- [3] R. M. Wald, *Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime and Black Hole Thermodynamics* (Chicago University Press, Chicago, 1994)
- [4] *Black Holes, Gravitational Radiation and the Universe*, B. R. Iyer, B. Bhawal eds. (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1998)
- [5] V. P. Frolov, D. I. Novikov, *Black Hole Physics: Basic Concepts and New Developments* (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1998)
- [6] L. Susskind, J. Uglum, *Black Hole Entropy in Canonical Quantum Gravity and Superstring Theory*, Phys. Rev. D50 (1994) 2700
- [7] A. Strominger, C. Wafa, *Microscopic Origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking Entropy*, Phys. Lett. B379 (1996) 99
- [8] S. Hossenfelder, *What Black Holes can teach Us*, hep-ph/0412265
- [9] D. V. Fursaev, *Can One Understand Black Hole Entropy without Knowing Much about Quantum Gravity*, gr-qc/0404038
- [10] P. S. Laplace, *Exposition du Systeme du Monde*, Vol. II (Paris, 1796)
- [11] V. Stephani, *La Place, Weimar, Schiller and the Birth of Black Hole Theory*, gr-qc/0304087
- [12] R.P. Feynman, R. B. Leighton, M. Sands, *The Feynman Lectures on Physics*, Vol. 2, (Addison-Wesley Pub. Co. Inc., Reading, Mass., 1964)